Case 3:10-cv-00312-RCJ-VPC Document 35 Filed 01/31/12 Page 1 of 2

Recommendation. negligence claims (#21) is GRANTED. is DENIED AS MOOT. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: This 31st day of January, 2012. 

Similarly, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made); see also Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F.Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in Reyna-Tapia as adopting the view that district courts are not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then this Court may accept the recommendation without review. See e.g., Johnstone, 263 F.Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection was filed).

In this case, there have been no objections filed to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. Although no objection was filed, this Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation (#34) and accepts it. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's due process and negligence claims (#21) is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order (#17) is DENIED AS MOOT.

ROBERT C. JONES Chief District Court Judge